

# Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel

The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, administered by UNEP, advises the Global Environment Facility  
(Version 5)

## STAP Scientific and Technical screening of the Project Identification Form (PIF)

Date of screening: April 24, 2015

Screener: Christine Wellington-Moore

Panel member validation by: Ricardo Orlando Barra Rios  
Consultant(s):

### I. PIF Information (*Copied from the PIF*)

**FULL SIZE PROJECT GEF TRUST FUND**

**GEF PROJECT ID:** 9079

**PROJECT DURATION :** 5

**COUNTRIES :** Honduras

**PROJECT TITLE:** Environmentally Sound Management of Products and Wastes Containing POPs and Risks Associated with their Final Disposal

**GEF AGENCIES:** UNDP

**OTHER EXECUTING PARTNERS:** The Ministry of Energy, Natural Resources, the Environment and Mines (SERNA)

**GEF FOCAL AREA:** Chemicals and Waste

### II. STAP Advisory Response (*see table below for explanation*)

Based on this PIF screening, STAP's advisory response to the GEF Secretariat and GEF Agency(ies):

**Concur**

### III. Further guidance from STAP

STAP welcomes this initiative, which builds on past successes at both local and national level, both identifying and addressing the gaps that remain in sound management approaches, and proposing bottom up mechanisms to improve remaining challenges and the current situation. As the project design proceeds, however, STAP would strongly recommend revisiting the assessment of risks associated with this project.

STAP advisory response	Brief explanation of advisory response and action proposed
<b>1. Concur</b>	In cases where STAP is satisfied with the scientific and technical quality of the proposal, a simple "Concur" response will be provided; the STAP may flag specific issues that should be pursued rigorously as the proposal is developed into a full project document. At any time during the development of the project, the proponent is invited to approach STAP to consult on the design prior to submission for CEO endorsement.
<b>2. Minor issues to be considered during project design</b>	STAP has identified specific scientific /technical suggestions or opportunities that should be discussed with the project proponent as early as possible during development of the project brief. The proponent may wish to:  (i) Open a dialogue with STAP regarding the technical and/or scientific issues raised. (ii) Set a review point at an early stage during project development, and possibly agreeing to terms of reference for an independent expert to be appointed to conduct this review.  The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.
<b>3. Major issues to be considered during project</b>	STAP proposes significant improvements or has concerns on the grounds of specified major scientific/technical methodological issues, barriers, or omissions in the project concept. If STAP provides this advisory response, a full explanation would also be provided. The proponent is strongly encouraged to:

<b>design</b>	<p>(i) Open a dialogue with STAP regarding the technical and/or scientific issues raised; (ii) Set a review point at an early stage during project development including an independent expert as required.</p> <p>The GEF Secretariat may, based on this screening outcome, delay the proposal and refer the proposal back to the proponents with STAP's concerns.</p> <p>The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.</p>
---------------	---